

European Journal of Anaesthesiology:
July 2010 - Volume 27 - Issue 7 - p 592–597
doi: 10.1097/EJA.0b013e32833b1adf
Special article

The Helsinki Declaration on Patient Safety in Anaesthesiology

Mellin-Olsen, Jannicke; Staender, Sven; Whitaker, David K; Smith, Andrew F

[Free Access](#)

[Article Outline](#)



Author Information

From the European Board of Anaesthesiology/UEMS (JM-O), the Patient Safety Committee of the European Board of Anaesthesiology (DKW), and the Task-Force on Patient Safety of the European Society of Anaesthesiology (SS, AFS)

Received 15 March, 2010

Revised 9 April, 2010

Accepted 19 April, 2010

Correspondence to Dr Jannicke Mellin-Olsen, Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Baerum Hospital, Vestre Viken Health Authority, P.O. Box 83, N-1309 Rud Oslo, Norway E-mail: mellinolsen@gmail.com



Abstract

Anaesthesiology, which includes anaesthesia, perioperative care, intensive care medicine, pain therapy and emergency medicine, has always participated in systematic attempts to improve patient safety. Anaesthesiologists have a unique, cross-specialty opportunity to influence the safety and quality of patient care. Past achievements have allowed our specialty a perception that it has become safe, but there should be no room for complacency when there is more to be done. Increasingly older and sicker patients, more complex surgical interventions, more pressure on throughput, new drugs and devices and simple chance all pose

hazards in the work of anaesthesiologists. In response to this increasingly difficult and complex working environment, the European Board of Anaesthesiology (EBA), in cooperation with the European Society of Anaesthesiology (ESA), has produced a blueprint for patient safety in anaesthesiology. This document, to be known as the Helsinki Declaration on Patient Safety in Anaesthesiology, was endorsed by these two bodies together with the World Health Organization (WHO), the World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists (WFSA), and the European Patients' Federation (EPF) at the Euroanaesthesia meeting in Helsinki in June 2010. The Declaration represents a shared European view of that which is worthy, achievable, and needed to improve patient safety in anaesthesiology in 2010. The Declaration recommends practical steps that all anaesthesiologists who are not already using them can successfully include in their own clinical practice. In parallel, EBA and ESA have launched a joint patient safety task-force in order to put these recommendations into practice. It is planned to review this Declaration document regularly.

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

Introduction

The notion of nonmalfeasance as one of the guiding ethical principles of medical practice is as old as Hippocrates. However, as possibilities widen and interventions become more powerful, introducing greater complexity into the healthcare process, the potential for harm has increased. Policy-makers, patients, politicians and the news media are no longer content to allow healthcare professionals to continue to manage the risks alone and without overt control.

Patient safety has three components: a set of guiding principles, a body of knowledge and a collection of tools.¹ The principles are: the tendency for things to go wrong is both natural and normal, rather than an opportunity to find someone to blame; safety can be improved by analysing errors and critical incidents, rather than pretending they have not happened; and humans, machines and equipment are all part of a system, the component parts of which interact to make the system safe or unsafe. Knowledge is largely taken from other safety-critical, high-reliability industries such as mass transportation and nuclear power² and includes an understanding of how accidents arise and how they can be prevented. Finally, the tools include critical incident reporting,³⁻⁵ checklists,⁶ safe system design, communication protocols⁷ and systematic analysis of risks.⁸

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

Morbidity and mortality in medicine

Medical errors cause death and disability,^{9,10} and recently a number of studies have tried to quantify the scale of that problem. In a systematic review examining more than 70 000 records of a general patient-population, the overall incidence of in-hospital adverse events was 9.2%, of which 43.5% were thought to be preventable.¹¹ More than half (56.3%) of these patients experienced none or only minor disability; however, 7.4% of the events were lethal. The majority of the events were surgery-related (39.6%) or medication-related (15.1%).

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

Morbidity and mortality in anaesthesiology

With regard to risks that are directly related to anaesthesiology, surrogates of safety must be considered. Before 1980, in an era that predates the widespread use of pulse oximetry and capnography, anaesthesia-related mortality rates were estimated between about 1: 2500 and 1: 5000.¹²⁻¹⁶ It has not been formally proven that the introduction of these new monitoring devices has had a beneficial effect on morbidity or mortality, but nevertheless the decrease in the rate of anaesthesia-related cardiac arrests, mainly related to respiratory causes, from 2.1 to 1.0 per 10 000 anaesthetics over a 20-year period from 1969 to 1988¹⁷ supports this assumption.

During the last decade, mortality rates in anaesthesia have been reported from France, the Netherlands, the United States and Australia.¹⁸⁻²⁰ In the Netherlands, Arbous *et al.*¹⁸ found that, between 1995 and 1997, the incidence of anaesthesia-related deaths within 24 h of a procedure was 0.14 per 100 000 procedures (8.8 per 100 000 for only partly anaesthesia-related deaths). In France, Lienhart *et al.*¹⁹ reported that, in 1999, anaesthesia-related in-hospital mortality rate was 0.7 per 100 000 and partially anaesthesia-related death rate was 4.7 per 100 000; 42% of deaths occurred within 24 h of the procedure. These rates were even lower for the American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) I patients, in whom mortality solely or partially related to anaesthesia was found to be 0.4 per 100 000.¹⁹ The study from Australia corroborates these findings; Gibbs and Borton²⁰ found for the period 2000–2002 an anaesthesia-related mortality rate within 24 h of anaesthesia of 0.55 per 100 000. In the United States, between 1999 and 2005, Li *et al.*²¹ reported an anaesthesia-related death rate of 1.1 per million population per year and 8.2 per million hospital surgical discharges. The authors estimated that the mortality risk of anaesthesia for surgical inpatients was 0.82 in 100 000 cases, which provides further support for the data from Australia and Europe. Overall, the mortality risk from complications and adverse events of anaesthesia today appears to lie at approximately 1 in 100 000 cases for Australia, Europe and the United States.

There are several limitations to the measurement of anaesthesia-related mortality because of the loose definition of what is meant when an event is described as solely or only partially related to anaesthesia, and also with such low incidences, the denominator needs to be very large in order to draw meaningful conclusions. Further, in long-term studies, study population, technology and patient care may change, making intrastudy and interstudy comparisons difficult.²² Despite this, the overall improvement in the anaesthesia-related mortality rates over the years is obvious. The studies can only speculate as to what has been responsible for the improvement seen; better monitoring and equipment, new anaesthetic drugs, better training, availability of recovery room facilities and improved airway management are just a few advances worthy of mention.

A surrogate of safety is morbidity data. Studies of anaesthesia-related morbidity show that complications still remain frequent, though deaths solely due to anaesthesia are uncommon. A number of well conducted studies have found an overall incidence of minor anaesthesia-related perioperative events of 18–22%.^{23,24} More serious perioperative complications were reported at a rate of 0.45–1.4%, and complications resulting in permanent damage were found at a rate of 0.2–0.6%.²³

These numbers clearly put the low rates of anaesthesia mortality into perspective. In approximate terms, severe perioperative complications with permanent damage occur in 1 per 170–500 patients, whereas anaesthesia-related death occurs in less than 1 per 100 000 patients. Adverse events that do not cause the patient any harm are much more common, but the exact numbers are unknown due to lack of a denominator.²⁵ These ‘undesirable events’

should be prevented not only because they may represent poor care in themselves, but also because such events have been linked to subsequent, more serious intraoperative and postoperative problems.²⁶ ‘Undesirable events’ should be investigated and analysed in order to learn why they happened and how they can be prevented from happening again.²⁷

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

Patient safety in anaesthesiology

Since the very beginning, anaesthesiology has participated in systematic attempts to improve patient safety;²⁸ for example, in the setting of standards for colour codes of medical gas cylinders as early as 1932.²⁹ This important fact brings two equally important consequences. First, it is the duty of anaesthesiologists to build on their past achievements and not to allow the familiar and comforting image of the specialty as ‘safe’ to permit complacency.³⁰ Older and sicker patients, increased pressure on throughput, new drugs and devices and more complex procedures all increase hazards in the anaesthesiologist's work. Second, anaesthesiologists' care of patients is not limited to the perioperative period. In most European countries, our specialty is closely involved in intensive care medicine, in which patients are exposed to both similar and additional risks.³¹ Anaesthesiologists are also involved in the treatment of acute and chronic pain patients. Finally, critical emergency medicine is another area where anaesthesiologists play an important role.³² One UK study showed that anaesthesiologists were involved in the care of 60% of hospital inpatients, and this included all clinical specialties when their patients' degree of illness became critical.³³ In all these fields, anaesthesiologists share skills and experience to enhance patient safety. Thus, anaesthesiologists have a unique, cross-specialty opportunity to influence safety and quality of patient care.³⁴

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

The Helsinki Declaration on Patient Safety in Anaesthesiology: a European initiative

As a response to this situation and in order to show leadership in such an important area, the European Board of Anaesthesiology (EBA), in cooperation with the European Society of Anaesthesiology (ESA), has developed a Declaration on Patient Safety in Anaesthesiology (see appendix).

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

Method

Starting in June 2009, the patient safety subcommittee of the EBA consulted widely before writing this document and many individuals and representatives of national societies have been involved in its drafting and development. The ESA scientific programme subcommittee ‘evidence-based practice and quality improvement’ as well as the ‘patient safety’ subcommittee were involved from the beginning. The first draft was discussed in a meeting in London with representatives from all over Europe in November 2009. Subsequently, it was ratified by all EBA representatives as well as the ESA Board of Directors. The Declaration in its present form is the result of a consensus between both the ESA Board of Directors and the EBA.

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

The Declaration

The Declaration builds on earlier statements about safety and quality of care.^{33,35,36} It represents a shared European opinion of what currently is both worthy and achievable to improve patient safety in anaesthesiology in 2010. The Declaration recommends practical steps that all anaesthesiologists who are not already using them should include in their own practice. These are relatively straightforward and, where they are currently being used, have a track record of improving patient safety. All European anaesthesiology institutions are expected to support the WHO 'Safe Surgery Saves Lives' initiative including the 'Safe Surgery Checklist', where anaesthesiology plays an important role and where other safety recommendations are made. The Declaration was endorsed by the EBA and ESA. It was officially launched at the Euroanaesthesia congress in Helsinki in June 2010 and was subsequently signed by several representatives of European anaesthesiology and other stakeholders [WHO, World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists (WFSA), European Patients Federation (EPF)].

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

The way forward

Other healthcare stakeholders are welcome to endorse the Declaration and to join the EBA and ESA in their initiative in improving patient safety in Europe and beyond. Close cooperation between European organisations will be required to achieve this. A joint EBA and ESA task force for patient safety (David Whitaker and Guttorm Brattebø representing the EBA and Andrew F. Smith with Sven Staender (chairman) representing the ESA) has recently been launched to develop and support a variety of patient-safety initiatives and support research in that field in order to put the recommendations of the Declaration into practice in Europe. It is planned to review the Declaration document regularly.

This consensus statement provides an authoritative document that European anaesthesiologists can present to health authorities, politicians, policy-makers, funders, hospital managers or departmental directors in order to help persuade them to introduce the required safety measures locally and nationally. If this can be achieved, we are convinced that in due course the Declaration may become a tool for continual improvement in standards of patient safety in European anaesthesiology, serving the best interests of our patients.

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge input and support from all members of the European Board of Anaesthesiology (EBA), representing all countries in the European Union (EU) as well as representatives from other European non-EU countries, all members of the European Society of Anaesthesiology (ESA) Board of Directors and the Chairmen of the ESA scientific programme subcommittee 'evidence-based practice and quality improvement' as well as the 'patient safety' subcommittee and the ESA guidelines committee.

Travel and accommodation funding for the London 2009 conference was covered by EBA, ESA and several national anaesthesiology societies.

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

References

1 Smith AF. Patient safety: people, systems and techniques. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2007; 51(Suppl 1):51–53.

[Cited Here...](#)

2 Van der Schaaf TW. Medical applications of industrial safety science. *Qual Saf Healthcare* 2002; 11:205–206.

[Cited Here...](#)

3 Staender S, Davies J, Helmreich B, *et al.* The anaesthesia critical incident reporting system: an experience based database. *Int J Med Inf* 1997; 47:87–90.

[Cited Here...](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

4 Staender S, Kaufmann M, Scheidegger D. Critical incident reporting systems in anaesthesia. In: Vincent Ch, de Mol B, editors. *Safety in Medicine*. Oxford: Pergamon, Elsevier Science; 2000. pp. 65–82.

[Cited Here...](#)

5 Smith AF, Mahajan RP. National critical incident reporting: improving patient safety. *Br J Anaesth* 2009; 103:623–625.

[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

6 Winters BD, Gurses AP, Lehmann H, *et al.* Clinical review: checklists – translating evidence into practice. *Crit Care* 2009; 13:210.

[Cited Here...](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

7 Smith AF, Pope C, Goodwin D, Mort M. Interprofessional handover and patient safety in anaesthesia: observational study of handovers in the recovery room. *Br J Anaesth* 2008; 101:332–337.

[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

8 Vincent C, Taylor-Adams S, Chapman EJ, *et al.* How to investigate and analyse clinical incidents: clinical risk unit and association of litigation and risk management protocol. *BMJ* 2000; 320:777–781.

[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

9 An organisation with a memory. Department of Health, United Kingdom. 13.6.2000.

http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_4065083. [Accessed 8 April 2010].

[Cited Here...](#)

10 Kohn LT, Corrigan JM, Donaldson MS. *To err is human: building a safer health system. The Institute of Medicine report on medical error*. The Institute of Medicine. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1999.

[Cited Here...](#)

11 de Vries EN, Ramrattan MA, Smorenburg SM, *et al.* The incidence and nature of in-hospital adverse events: a systematic review. *Qual Saf Healthcare* 2008; 17:216–223.

[Cited Here...](#)

12 Clifton BS, Hotten WI. Deaths associated with anaesthesia. *Br J Anaesth* 1963; 35:250–259.

[Cited Here...](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

13 Harrison GG. Death attributable to anaesthesia. A 10-year survey (1967–1976). *Br J Anaesth* 1978; 50:1041–1046.

[Cited Here...](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

14 Hovi-Viander M. Death associated with anaesthesia in Finland. *Br J Anaesth* 1980; 52:483–489.

[Cited Here...](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

15 Marx GF, Mateo CV, Orkin LR. Computer analysis of postanesthetic deaths. *Anesthesiology* 1973; 39:54–58.

[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

16 Schapira M, Kepes ER, Hurwitt ES. An analysis of deaths in the operating room and within 24 h of surgery. *Anesth Analg* 1960; 39:149–157.

[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

17 Keenan RL, Boyan CP. Decreasing frequency of anesthetic cardiac arrests. *J Clin Anesth* 1991; 3:354–357.

[Cited Here...](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

18 Arbous MS, Grobbee DE, van Kleef JW, *et al.* Mortality associated with anaesthesia: a qualitative analysis to identify risk factors. *Anaesthesia* 2001; 56:1141–1153.

[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

19 Lienhart A, Auroy Y, Pequignot F, *et al.* Survey of anesthesia-related mortality in France. *Anesthesiology* 2006; 105:1087–1097.

[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

20 Gibbs N, Borton CL. Safety of anaesthesia in Australia. A review of anaesthesia related mortality 2000–2002. Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists. <http://www.anzca.edu.au/resources/professional-documents/professional-standards/ps7.html>. [Accessed 8 April 2010].

[Cited Here...](#)

21 Li G, Warner M, Lang BH, *et al.* Epidemiology of anesthesia-related mortality in the United States, 1999–2005. *Anesthesiology* 2009; 110:759–765.

[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

22 Lagasse RS. Anesthesia safety: model or myth? A review of the published literature and analysis of current original data. *Anesthesiology* 2002; 97:1609–1617.

[Cited Here...](#)

23 Bothner U, Georgieff M, Schwilk B. Building a large-scale perioperative anaesthesia outcome-tracking database: methodology, implementation, and experiences from one provider within the German quality project. *Br J Anaesth* 2000; 85:271–280.

[Cited Here...](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

24 Fasting S, Gisvold SE. Statistical process control methods allow the analysis and improvement of anesthesia care. *Can J Anaesth* 2003; 50:767–774.

[Cited Here...](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

25 Thomas AN, Pilkington CE, Greer R. Critical incident reporting in UK intensive care units: a postal survey. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2003; 9:59–68.

[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

26 Boelle PY, Garnerin P, Sicard JF, *et al.* Voluntary reporting system in anaesthesia: is there a link between undesirable and critical events? *Qual Healthcare* 2000; 9:203–209.

[Cited Here...](#)

27 Catchpole K, Bell MD, Johnson S. Safety in anaesthesia: a study of 12,606 reported incidents from the UK National Reporting and Learning System. *Anaesthesia* 2008; 63:340–346.

[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

28 Gaba DM. Anaesthesiology as a model for patient safety in healthcare. *BMJ* 2000; 320:785–788.

[Cited Here...](#)

29 Boulton TB. The Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland 1932–1992 and The Development of the Specialty of Anaesthesia. *Sixty years of progress and achievement in the context of Scientific, political and social change*. London, UK: the Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland; 1999. pp. 59–60.

[Cited Here...](#)

30 Smith AF, Goodwin D, Mort M, Pope C. Adverse events in anaesthetic practice: qualitative study of definition, discussion and reporting. *Br J Anaesth* 2006; 96:715–721.

[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

31 Moreno RP, Rhodes A, Donchin Y. Patient safety in intensive care medicine: the Declaration of Vienna. *Intensive Care Med* 2009; 35:1667–1672.

[Cited Here...](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

32 De Robertis E, Tomins P, Knape H. Anaesthesiologists in emergency medicine: the desirable manpower. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2010; 27:223–225.

[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

33 Smith A. Audit commission tackles anaesthetic services. *BMJ* 1998; 316:3–4.

[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#)

34 Jorm C. Patient safety and quality: can anaesthetists play a greater role? *Anaesthesia* 2003; 58:833–834.

[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

35 Vimlati L, Gilsanz F, Goldik Z. Quality and safety guidelines of postanaesthesia care: Working Party on Post Anaesthesia Care (approved by the European Board and Section of Anaesthesiology, Union Europeenne des Medecins Specialistes). *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2009; 26:715–721.

[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

36 Staender SE. Patient safety in anesthesia. *Minerva Anesthesiol* 2010; 76:45–50.

[Cited Here...](#) | [PubMed](#)

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

Appendix

Helsinki Declaration on Patient Safety in Anaesthesiology

BACKGROUND

Anaesthesiology shares responsibility for quality and safety in Anaesthesia, Intensive Care, Emergency Medicine and Pain Medicine, including the whole perioperative process and also in many other situations inside and outside the hospital where patients are at their most vulnerable.

* Around 230 million patients undergo anaesthesia for major surgery in the world every year. Seven million develop severe complications associated with these surgical procedures from which one million die (200,000 in Europe).¹ All involved should try to reduce this complication rate significantly.

* Anaesthesiology is the key specialty in medicine to take up responsibility for achieving the goals listed below which will notably improve Patient Safety in Europe.

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

HEADS OF AGREEMENT

We, the leaders of societies representing the medical speciality of anaesthesiology, met in Helsinki on 13 June 2010 and all agree that:

* Patients have a right to expect to be safe and protected from harm during their medical care and anaesthesiology has a key role to play improving patient safety perioperatively. To this end we fully endorse the World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists International Standards for a Safe Practice of Anaesthesia.²

* Patients have an important role to play in their safe care which they should be educated about and given opportunities to provide feedback to further improve the process for others.^{3,4}

* The funders of healthcare have a right to expect that perioperative anaesthesia care will be delivered safely and therefore they must provide appropriate resources.

- * Education has a key role to play in improving patient safety, and we fully support the development, dissemination and delivery of patient safety training.⁵
- * Human factors play a large part in the delivery of safe care to patients, and we will work with our surgical, nursing and other clinical partners to reliably provide this.⁶
- * Our partners in industry have an important role to play in developing, manufacturing and supplying safe drugs and equipment for our patients' care.
- * Anaesthesiology has been a key specialty in medicine leading the development of patient safety. We are not complacent and know there are still more areas to improve through research and innovation.⁷
- * No ethical, legal or regulatory requirement should reduce or eliminate any of the protections for safe care set forth in this Declaration.

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

PRINCIPAL REQUIREMENTS

Today we pledge to join with the European Board of Anaesthesiology (EBA) in declaring the following aims for improving Patient Safety in Europe. Close cooperation between European organisations will be required to achieve these goals, for which the input and efforts of the European Society of Anaesthesiology (ESA) will be instrumental:

1. All institutions providing perioperative anaesthesia care to patients (in Europe) should comply with the minimum standards of monitoring recommended by the EBA both in operating theatres and in recovery areas.⁸
2. All such institutions should have protocols^{2,9} and the necessary facilities for managing the following
 - * Preoperative assessment and preparation
 - * Checking Equipment and drugs
 - * Syringe labelling
 - * Difficult/failed intubation
 - * Malignant hyperpyrexia
 - * Anaphylaxis
 - * Local anaesthetic toxicity
 - * Massive haemorrhage
 - * Infection control

* Postoperative care including pain relief

3. All institutions providing sedation to patients must comply with anaesthesiology recognised sedation standards for safe practice.¹⁰⁻¹⁴

4. All institutions should support the WHO Safe Surgery Saves Lives initiative and Checklist.¹⁵

5. All departments of anaesthesiology in Europe must be able to produce an annual report of measures taken and results obtained in improving patient safety locally.

6. All institutions providing anaesthesiological care to patients must collect the required data to be able to produce an annual report on patient morbidity and mortality.

7. All institutions providing anaesthesiological care to patients must contribute to the recognised national or other major audits of safe practice and critical incident reporting systems. Resources must be provided to achieve this.

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

CONCLUSION

* This declaration emphasises the key role of anaesthesiology in promoting safe perioperative care.

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

CONTINUITY

* We invite anyone involved in healthcare to join us and sign up to this declaration.

* We will reconvene to annually review our progress to implement this declaration.

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

SIGNED

Dr. Jannicke Mellin-Olsen,

President, European Board of Anaesthesiology/UEMS

Prof. Paolo Pelosi,

President, European Society of Anaesthesiology

Prof. Hugo Van Aken,

Chairperson, National Anaesthesia Societies Committee on behalf of the ESA Member Societies

[Back to Top](#) | [Article Outline](#)

References

- 1 Weiser TG, Regenbogen SE, Thompson KD, *et al.* An estimation of the global volume of surgery: a modelling strategy based on available data. *Lancet* 2008; **372**:139–144.
[Cited Here...](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)
- 2 World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists. International Standards for a Safe Practice of Anaesthesia; 2008. <http://anaesthesiologists.org/en/safety/2008-international-standards-for-a-safepractice-of-anaesthesia.html>. [Accessed 8 April 2010].
[Cited Here...](#)
- 3 Peat M, Entwistle V, Hall J, *et al.* Scoping review and approach to appraisal of interventions intended to involve patients in patient safety. *J Health Serv Res Policy* 2010; **15** (Suppl 1):17–25.
[Cited Here...](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)
- 4 Davis RE, Jacklin R, Sevdalis N, Vincent CA. Patient involvement in patient Safety: what factors influence patient participation and engagement? *Health Expect* 2007; **10**:259–267.
[Cited Here...](#)
- 5 Staender SE. Patient safety in anesthesia. *Minerva Anesthesiol* 2010; **76**:45–50.
[Cited Here...](#) | [PubMed](#)
- 6 Reason J. *Human error*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1990.
[Cited Here...](#)
- 7 Gaba DM. Anaesthesiology as a model for patient safety in healthcare. *BMJ* 2000; **320**:785–788.
[Cited Here...](#)
- 8 Mellin-Olsen J, O'Sullivan E, Balogh D, *et al.* Guidelines for safety and quality in anaesthesia practice in the European Union. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2007; **24**:479–482.
[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)
- 9 Vimlati L, Gilsanz F, Goldik Z. Quality and safety guidelines of postanaesthesia care: Working Party on Post Anaesthesia Care (approved by the European Board and Section of Anaesthesiology, Union Europeenne des Medecins Specialistes). *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2009; **26**:715–721.
[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)
- 10 American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Sedation and Analgesia by Non-Anesthesiologists. Practice guidelines for sedation and analgesia by nonanesthesiologists. *Anesthesiology* 2002; **96**:1004–1017.
[Cited Here...](#)
- 11 Gisvold SE, Raeder J, Jyssum T, *et al.* Guidelines for the practice of anesthesia in Norway. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2002; **46**:942–946.
[Cited Here...](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

12 SIAARTI Study Group for Safety in Anesthesia and Intensive Care. Recommendations for anesthesia and sedation in nonoperating room locations. *Minerva Anesthesiol* 2005; **71**:11–20.

[Cited Here...](#) | [PubMed](#)

13 Cote CJ, Wilson S. Guidelines for monitoring and management of pediatric patients during and after sedation for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures: an update. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2008; **18**:9–10.

[Cited Here...](#) | [View Full Text](#) | [PubMed](#)

14 Knappe JT. The impact of the European guidelines for sedation by nonanaesthesiologists for gastroenterology practice. *J Gastrointest Liver Dis* 2007; **16**:429–430.

[Cited Here...](#)

15 Haynes AB, Weiser TG, Berry WR, *et al*. A surgical safety checklist to reduce morbidity and mortality in a global population. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **360**:491–499.

[Cited Here...](#) | [PubMed](#) | [CrossRef](#)

Keywords:

education; patient safety; perioperative care; standards

© 2010 European Society of Anaesthesiology